



MAY 2020

FIVE KEY FACTS THAT ARGUE AGAINST CONTINUING THE LOCKDOWN*

By Scott W. Atlas, MD

*First published by *The Hill* on April 22, 2020.

The facts underlined here by this prestigious author have not been heard widely enough in the Canadian context. Yet the debate over generalized, compulsory lockdowns will no doubt remain active for quite some time. And while Dr. Atlas wrote this piece in an American context and the data have continued to evolve, his observations are based on science and common sense, and are very much applicable to the situation in Canada, or anywhere else for that matter.

— Michel Kelly-Gagnon, President and CEO of the MEI

The tragedy of the COVID-19 pandemic appears to be entering the containment phase. Tens of thousands of Americans have died,¹ and Americans are now desperate for sensible policymakers who have the courage to ignore the panic and rely on facts. Leaders must examine accumulated data to see what has actually happened, rather than keep emphasizing hypothetical projections; combine that empirical evidence with fundamental principles of biology established for decades; and then thoughtfully restore the country to function.

Five key facts are being ignored by those calling for continuing the near-total lockdown.

Fact 1: The overwhelming majority of people do not have any significant risk of dying from COVID-19.

The recent Stanford University antibody study² now estimates that the fatality rate if infected is likely 0.1 to 0.2 percent, a risk far lower than previous World

Health Organization estimates that were 20 to 30 times higher and that motivated isolation policies.

In New York City, an epicenter of the pandemic with more than one-third of all U.S. deaths, the rate of death for people 18 to 45 years old is 0.01 percent,³ or 10 per 100,000 in the population. On the other hand, people aged 75 and over have a death rate 80 times that. For people under 18 years old, the rate of death is zero per 100,000.

Of all fatal cases in New York state, two-thirds were in patients over 70 years of age; more than 95 percent were over 50 years of age; and about 90 percent of all fatal cases had an underlying illness.⁴ Of 6,570 confirmed COVID-19 deaths fully investigated for underlying conditions to date, 6,520, or 99.2 percent, had an underlying illness.⁵ If you do not already have an underlying chronic condition, your chances of dying are small, regardless of age. And young adults and children in normal health have almost no risk of any serious illness from COVID-19.

Fact 2: Protecting older, at-risk people eliminates hospital overcrowding.

We can learn about hospital utilization from data from New York City,⁶ the hotbed of COVID-19 with more than 34,600 hospitalizations to date. For those under 18 years of age, hospitalization from the virus is 0.01 percent, or 11 per 100,000 people; for those 18 to 44 years old, hospitalization is 0.1 percent. Even for people ages 65 to 74, only 1.7 percent were hospitalized. Of 4,103 confirmed COVID-19 patients with symptoms bad enough to seek medical care,⁷ Dr. Leora Horwitz of NYU Medical Center concluded “age is far and away the strongest risk factor for hospitalization.”⁸ Even early WHO reports noted that 80 percent of all cases were mild, and more recent studies show a far more widespread rate of infection and lower rate of serious illness.⁹ Half of all people testing positive for infection have no symptoms at all. The vast majority of younger, otherwise healthy people do not need significant medical care if they catch this infection.

Fact 3: Vital population immunity is prevented by total isolation policies, prolonging the problem.

We know from decades of medical science that infection itself allows people to generate an immune response — antibodies — so that the infection is controlled throughout the population by “herd immunity.”¹⁰ Indeed, that is the main purpose of widespread immunization in other viral diseases — to assist with population immunity. In this virus, we know that medical care is not even necessary for the vast majority of people who are infected.¹¹ It is so mild that half of infected people are asymptomatic, shown in early data from the Diamond Princess ship, and then in Iceland and Italy.¹² That has been falsely portrayed as a problem requiring mass isolation. In fact, infected people without severe illness are the immediately available vehicle for establishing widespread immunity. By transmitting the virus to others in the low-risk group who then generate antibodies, they block the network of pathways toward the most vulnerable people, ultimately ending the threat. Extending whole-population isolation would directly prevent that widespread immunity from developing.

Fact 4: People are dying because other medical care is not getting done due to hypothetical projections.

Critical health care for millions of Americans is being ignored and people are dying to accommodate “potential” COVID-19 patients and for fear of spreading the disease. Most states and many hospitals abruptly stopped “nonessential” procedures and surgery.¹³ That prevented diagnoses of life-threatening diseases, like cancer screening, biopsies of tumors now undiscovered and potentially deadly brain aneurysms. Treatments, including emergency care, for the most serious illnesses were also missed. Cancer patients deferred chemotherapy.¹⁴ An estimated 80 percent of brain surgery cases were skipped. Acute stroke and heart attack patients missed their only chances for treatment, some dying and many now facing permanent disability.

Fact 5: We have a clearly defined population at risk who can be protected with targeted measures.

The overwhelming evidence all over the world consistently shows that a clearly defined group — older people and others with underlying conditions — is more likely to have a serious illness requiring hospitalization and more likely to die from COVID-19. Knowing that, it is a commonsense, achievable goal to target isolation policy to that group, including strictly monitoring those who interact with them. Nursing home residents, the highest risk, should be the most straightforward to systematically protect from infected people, given that they already live in confined places with highly restricted entry.

The appropriate policy, based on fundamental biology and the evidence already in hand, is to institute a more focused strategy like some outlined in the first place: Strictly protect the known vulnerable, self-isolate the mildly sick and open most workplaces and small businesses with some prudent large-group precautions.¹⁵ This would allow the essential socializing to generate immunity among those with minimal risk of serious consequence, while saving lives, preventing overcrowding of hospitals and limiting the enormous harms compounded by continued total isolation. Let’s stop under-emphasizing empirical evidence while instead doubling down on hypothetical models. Facts matter.

REFERENCES

1. Worldometer, Coronavirus, United States.
2. Eran Bendavid et al., “COVID-19 Antibody Seroprevalence in Santa Clara County, California,” medRxiv.org, April 11, 2020.
3. Government of New York City, COVID-19: Data, Rates by Age.
4. Government of New York State, Department of Health, covid19tracker, Fatalities.
5. Government of New York City, “Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), Daily Data Summary,” April 18, 2020.
6. Government of New York City, *op. cit.*, footnote 3.
7. Christopher M. Petrilli et al., “Factors associated with hospitalization and critical illness among 4,103 patients with Covid-19 disease in New York City,” medRxiv.org, April 11, 2020.
8. Tiernan Ray, “NYU scientists: Largest US study of COVID-19 finds obesity the single biggest ‘chronic’ factor in New York City’s hospitalizations,” ZDNet, April 12, 2020.
9. World Health Organization, “Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19),” Situation Report – 41, March 1st, 2020.
10. C.J.E. Metcalf et al., “Understanding Herd Immunity,” *Trends in Immunology*, Vol. 36, No. 12, December 2015, pp. 753-755.
11. Clive Cookson, “Coronavirus may have infected half of UK population — Oxford study,” *Financial Times*, March 24, 2020.
12. Kenji Mizumoto et al., “Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020,” *Eurosurveillance*, Vol. 25, No. 10, March 12, 2020; Government of Iceland, “Large scale testing of general population in Iceland underway,” Press release, March 15, 2020; *La Repubblica*, “Coronavirus: ‘Il 50-75% dei casi a Vo’ sono asintomatici. Una formidabile fonte di contagio,’” March 16, 2020.
13. Ambulatory Surgery Center Association, State Guidance on Elective Surgeries, April 20, 2020; Ambulatory Surgery Center Association, COVID-19: Guidance for ASCs on Necessary Surgeries.
14. Miriam Falco, “Common Questions About the New Coronavirus Outbreak,” American Cancer Society, April 27, 2020.
15. David L. Katz, “Is Our Fight Against Coronavirus Worse Than the Disease?” *The New York Times*, March 20, 2020.



Scott W. Atlas, MD, is the David and Joan Traitel Senior Fellow at Stanford University’s Hoover Institution and the former chief of neuroradiology at Stanford University Medical Center. The MEI’s **Regulation Series** aims to examine the often unintended consequences for individuals and businesses of various laws and rules, in contrast with their stated goals.

The MEI is an independent public policy think tank based in Montreal. Through its publications, media appearances, and advisory services to policy-makers, the MEI stimulates public policy debate and reforms based on sound economics and entrepreneurship. It neither solicits nor accepts any government funding.

MEI 1100 Avenue des Canadiens-de-Montréal, Suite 351, Montreal QC H3B 2S2 T 514.273.0969 F 514.273.2581 iedm.org