Once again, the holiday period was a difficult one in Quebec hospitals. Shortly after New Year’s, the occupation rate of many emergency rooms exceeded 150%. Some reached 200%, and one Montreal hospital even registered a rate of 250%.¹ This means that there were five patients for every two available stretchers. The seasonal flu undoubtedly added to the difficulties in the system, but it’s hard to blame a predictable annual phenomenon for problems that have endured for decades.²

Overflowing ERs are a symptom of a deeper problem. Despite the addition of ever more public funds, central planning by the department and a multitude of barriers prevent a better allocation of resources and their optimal use. Thus, one in five Quebecers still doesn’t have a family doctor, and in Montreal it’s nearly one in three.³ Those who are looking for one can wait years, even for priority cases,⁴ and their number just keeps rising.⁵

Emergency rooms therefore become the health care system entry point for many patients. Over half (55%) of Quebecers visiting emergency rooms are assigned a priority level of 4 or 5, which generally corresponds to a condition that can be treated in a clinic.⁶

Despite all the political and media attention our health care system has received, and despite the considerable—and growing—sums of money injected into the health care system each year, wait times are stagnating or getting worse: The median length of stay for patients on stretchers is the same as it was fifteen years ago, while it has increased 50% for ambulatory patients.⁷

PATIENTS WHO LEAVE
Each year, Quebec emergency rooms receive some 3.7 million visitors. Of this number, around 3.2 million are attended to and treated on site. A portion

Figure 1

Distribution of patients having left ERs without being seen by a doctor, by level of priority, Quebec, 2018-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Level</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority 1</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority 2</td>
<td>4,902</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority 3</td>
<td>77,953</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority 4</td>
<td>181,991</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority 5</td>
<td>106,382</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>7,119</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 378,438

Source: Department of Health and Social Services, request for access to information, September 2019.

of these patients are redirected within the health care system. The rest leave without having been seen by a doctor.⁸

Last year, nearly 380,000 Quebecers—or over 1,000 patients a day—went to a hospital emergency room and ended up leaving without having been attended to by a doctor, and without having been redirected, according to the data from Quebec’s Department of Health (see Figure 1). That means more than one in ten patients gave up on receiving care. Yet over one fifth of these patients had been classified as “very urgent” or “urgent” during triage (Priority 2 or 3), which indicates that their condition “is potentially life-threatening” or “could put [the patient’s] life in danger.”⁹

For the second year in a row, the Department of Health has tried to reduce emergency room overcrowding with winter clinics. However, the 29,000 patients treated by these clinics
between January and March 2019\textsuperscript{10} represented just a drop in the bucket among the millions of consultations demanded by Quebecers last year, in ERs and otherwise. The Minister herself recognized that the contribution of the winter clinics “is insufficient” and “much more is needed.”\textsuperscript{11} In sum, our health care system is unable to meet the demand, while the effects of the aging of the population are just starting to be felt.\textsuperscript{12}

**DOING MORE WITH LESS**

Despite health care spending that is on par with that of the top OECD group, Quebec and Canada as a whole are below the average for these same countries in terms of resources available for patients.\textsuperscript{13} No public policy will be able to change this state of affairs overnight. If the government wants to see an improvement, it has no choice but to use its limited resources in the most efficient possible way and do everything it can to lower the barriers that prevent patients from accessing care, even at the cost of upsetting certain interest groups.

Expanding the scope of practice of health professionals, notably that of nurse practitioners and pharmacists, could make a difference in the short term. Despite some recent progress, their autonomy is still needlessly restricted when compared to what is done elsewhere in Canada and in other similar countries.\textsuperscript{14} The skills of other, non-practitioner nurses could also be put to better use, as has been done for several years now in Northern Quebec.\textsuperscript{15}

Other measures will only have a longer term impact, but can nonetheless be started now. Along with the reform of fee-for-service payments for doctors (a method of remuneration that discourages delegation and innovation in their practices),\textsuperscript{16} the government should put an end to medical school admissions quotas. A surplus of doctors would be a happy problem, and one that Quebec is far from having.\textsuperscript{17}

Finally, the government must take advantage of the upcoming introduction of activity-based funding in hospitals\textsuperscript{18} to entrust the administration of some of these to entrepreneurs, all while maintaining the universal character of our health care system, as is done in most industrialized countries.\textsuperscript{19} This combination has led to better results with equal resources, or to equally good results using fewer public resources, as illustrated by the performance of entrepreneurial hospitals in Europe, and by the superior quality of private funded CHSLDs here in Quebec.\textsuperscript{20}
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