

Table 1

Gender

			Total	Region						Gender		Age			Income			Education			Language	
			(A)	Lac St. Jean-Chicoutimi (A)	Hull-Outaouais (B)	Sherbrooke-Rive-Sud (C)	Montreal (D)	Quebec City (E)	Laval-Laurentide (F)	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-34 (A)	35-54 (B)	55+ (C)	<\$50K (A)	\$50-99K (B)	\$100K+ (C)	HS or less (A)	College / Tech school (B)	Univ+ (C)	English (A)	French (B)
Gender	All Respondents	BASE	801	103	81	176	223	124	95	387	414	218	321	262	359	250	89	218	340	243	116	685
		UNWT	801	112	88	159	221	115	106	412	389	143	287	371	356	258	90	218	330	253	130	671
	Male	COL %	48%	49%	49%	48%	48%	49%	49%	100%	0%	50%	49%	46%	48%	53%	53%	45%	47%	54%	47%	49%
		SIG																				
	Female	COUNT	387	50	39	85	106	61	46	387	0	109	157	121	171	133	48	98	159	131	55	333
		COL %	52%	51%	51%	52%	52%	51%	51%	0%	100%	50%	51%	54%	52%	47%	47%	55%	53%	46%	53%	51%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	414	53	41	91	117	63	49	0	414	109	164	141	188	116	42	120	182	112	61	352

: - Quebec Poll March 06 2009 --- Angus Reid Strategies --- 3/7/2009 tl

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 2

Age

			Total	Region						Gender		Age			Income			Education			Language	
			(A)	Lac St. Jean-Chicoutimi (A)	Hull-Outaouais (B)	Sherbrooke-Rive-Sud (C)	Montreal (D)	Quebec City (E)	Laval-Laurentide (F)	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-34 (A)	35-54 (B)	55+ (C)	<\$50K (A)	\$50-99K (B)	\$100K+ (C)	HS or less (A)	College / Tech school (B)	Univ+ (C)	English (A)	French (B)
AGE	All Respondents	BASE	801	103	81	176	223	124	95	387	414	218	321	262	359	250	89	218	340	243	116	685
		UNWT	801	112	88	159	221	115	106	412	389	143	287	371	356	258	90	218	330	253	130	671
	18-34	COL %	27%	24%	28%	26%	31%	27%	25%	28%	26%	100%	0%	0%	34%	22%	13%	25%	33%	21%	30%	27%
		SIG													B C				C			
	35-54	COUNT	218	25	23	45	69	33	24	109	109	218	0	0	121	54	12	54	113	51	35	184
		COL %	40%	41%	43%	41%	38%	39%	42%	41%	40%	0%	100%	0%	32%	45%	61%	43%	37%	42%	40%	40%
	55+	SIG													A	A B						
		COUNT	321	42	35	71	85	48	40	157	164	0	321	0	115	111	54	93	125	102	46	275
		COL %	33%	35%	29%	34%	31%	35%	33%	31%	34%	0%	0%	100%	34%	34%	26%	32%	30%	37%	31%	33%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	262	36	24	59	69	43	31	121	141	0	262	123	84	23	71	102	90	35	227	
		MEAN	46.5	47.3	45.0	46.8	45.9	47.2	46.5	46.6	46.4	28.3	45.6	62.7	46.3	47.5	47.4	46.7	45.4	47.7	46.3	46.5
	SIG											A	A B									
	STDDEV	14.4	14.9	13.7	14.8	14.2	14.7	14.3	14.7	14.2	4.2	6.0	6.3	15.4	13.3	11.6	14.3	14.9	13.9	14.5	14.4	
	MEDIAN	48.0	49.0	43.0	49.0	46.0	50.0	48.0	47.0	49.0	29.0	47.0	62.0	48.0	49.0	49.0	50.0	45.0	48.0	44.0	48.0	

: - Quebec Poll March 06 2009 --- Angus Reid Strategies --- 3/7/2009 tl

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 3

Age_Gender

		Total	Region							Gender		Age			Income			Education			Language	
			(A)	Lac St. Jean-Chicoutimi (A)	Hull-Outaouais (B)	Sherbrooke-Rive-Sud (C)	Montreal (D)	Quebec City (E)	Laval-Laurentide (F)	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-34 (A)	35-54 (B)	55+ (C)	<\$50K (A)	\$50-99K (B)	\$100K+ (C)	HS or less (A)	College / Tech school (B)	Univ+ (C)	English (A)	French (B)
AGE/Gender	All Respondents	BASE	801	103	81	176	223	124	95	387	414	218	321	262	359	250	89	218	340	243	116	685
		UNWT	801	112	88	159	221	115	106	412	389	143	287	371	356	258	90	218	330	253	130	671
	Male 18-34	COL %	14%	12%	14%	13%	15%	14%	13%	28%	0%	50%	0%	0%	17%	11%	10%	11%	17%	11%	15%	13%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	109	12	11	22	34	17	13	109	0	109	0	0	60	28	9	25	58	27	17	92
	Male 35-54	COL %	20%	20%	21%	20%	19%	19%	19%	41%	0%	0%	49%	0%	16%	24%	27%	19%	17%	24%	18%	20%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	157	21	17	35	42	24	18	157	0	0	157	0	59	59	24	40	58	59	21	136
	Male 55+	COL %	15%	17%	14%	16%	14%	16%	16%	31%	0%	0%	0%	46%	14%	19%	16%	15%	13%	19%	14%	15%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	121	17	11	28	30	20	15	121	0	0	0	121	52	46	14	33	43	46	16	105
	Female 18-34	COL %	14%	12%	14%	13%	16%	13%	12%	0%	26%	50%	0%	0%	17%	11%	3%	13%	16%	10%	15%	13%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	109	13	11	23	35	16	11	0	109	109	0	0	61	27	3	29	55	24	18	91
	Female 35-54	COL %	20%	21%	22%	21%	19%	19%	23%	0%	40%	0%	51%	0%	16%	21%	33%	24%	20%	18%	21%	20%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	164	21	18	36	43	24	21	0	164	0	164	0	56	52	30	53	68	43	25	139
	Female 55+	COL %	18%	18%	15%	18%	17%	19%	17%	0%	34%	0%	0%	54%	20%	15%	10%	18%	17%	18%	16%	18%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	141	19	12	32	39	23	16	0	141	0	0	141	71	38	9	38	59	44	19	122

: - Quebec Poll March 06 2009 --- Angus Reid Strategies --- 3/7/2009 tl

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 4

Language

		Total	Region						Gender		Age			Income			Education			Language		
			(A)	Lac St. Jean-Chicoutimi (A)	Hull-Outaouais (B)	Sherbrooke-Rive-Sud (C)	Montreal (D)	Quebec City (E)	Laval-Laurentide (F)	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-34 (A)	35-54 (B)	55+ (C)	<\$50K (A)	\$50-99K (B)	\$100K+ (C)	HS or less (A)	College / Tech school (B)	Univ+ (C)	English (A)	French (B)
Language	All Respondents	BASE	801	103	81	176	223	124	95	387	414	218	321	262	359	250	89	218	340	243	116	685
		UNWT	801	112	88	159	221	115	106	412	389	143	287	371	356	258	90	218	330	253	130	671
	Eng	COL %	14%	2%	17%	6%	37%	1%	8%	14%	15%	16%	14%	14%	13%	18%	13%	5%	18%	17%	100%	0%
		SIG			A E		A B C E F												A	A		
	French	COUNT	116	2	13	10	82	1	7	55	61	35	46	35	48	44	12	12	62	42	116	0
		COL %	86%	98%	83%	94%	63%	99%	92%	86%	85%	84%	86%	86%	87%	82%	87%	95%	82%	83%	0%	100%
		SIG		B D	D	D		B D	D									B C				
		COUNT	685	101	67	166	141	123	87	333	352	184	275	227	311	205	78	206	279	200	0	685

: - Quebec Poll March 06 2009 --- Angus Reid Strategies --- 3/7/2009 tl

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 5

Education

			Total	Region						Gender		Age			Income			Education			Language	
			(A)	Lac St. Jean-Chicoutimi (A)	Hull-Outaouais (B)	Sherbrooke-Rive-Sud (C)	Montreal (D)	Quebec City (E)	Laval-Laurentide (F)	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-34 (A)	35-54 (B)	55+ (C)	<\$50K (A)	\$50-99K (B)	\$100K+ (C)	HS or less (A)	College / Tech school (B)	Univ+ (C)	English (A)	French (B)
Education	All Respondents	BASE	801	103	81	176	223	124	95	387	414	218	321	262	359	250	89	218	340	243	116	685
		UNWT	801	112	88	159	221	115	106	412	389	143	287	371	356	258	90	218	330	253	130	671
	HS or less	COL %	27%	35%	30%	26%	18%	24%	44%	25%	29%	25%	29%	27%	37%	20%	7%	100%	0%	0%	10%	30%
		SIG		D					C D E						B C	C						A
		COUNT	218	36	25	45	41	30	42	98	120	54	93	71	132	51	7	218	0	0	12	206
	College/ Tech school	COL %	42%	38%	43%	44%	44%	44%	36%	41%	44%	52%	39%	39%	44%	43%	33%	0%	100%	0%	53%	41%
		SIG										B C										B
		COUNT	340	40	34	78	99	55	34	159	182	113	125	102	157	108	29	0	340	0	62	279
	Univ+	COL %	30%	27%	27%	30%	37%	32%	20%	34%	27%	23%	32%	34%	20%	36%	60%	0%	0%	100%	37%	29%
		SIG					F			B				A	A	A B						
		COUNT	243	27	22	52	83	39	19	131	112	51	102	90	70	91	54	0	0	243	42	200

: - Quebec Poll March 06 2009 --- Angus Reid Strategies --- 3/7/2009 tl

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 6

Income

			Total	Region						Gender		Age			Income			Education			Language	
			(A)	Lac St. Jean-Chicoutimi (A)	Hull-Outaouais (B)	Sherbrooke-Rive-Sud (C)	Montreal (D)	Quebec City (E)	Laval-Laurentide (F)	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-34 (A)	35-54 (B)	55+ (C)	<\$50K (A)	\$50-99K (B)	\$100K+ (C)	HS or less (A)	College / Tech school (B)	Univ+ (C)	English (A)	French (B)
Income	All Respondents	BASE	801	103	81	176	223	124	95	387	414	218	321	262	359	250	89	218	340	243	116	685
		UNWT	801	112	88	159	221	115	106	412	389	143	287	371	356	258	90	218	330	253	130	671
	<\$50K	COL %	45%	52%	37%	44%	45%	47%	43%	44%	45%	55%	36%	47%	100%	0%	0%	61%	46%	29%	42%	45%
		SIG										B		B				B C	C			
	\$50-99K	COUNT	359	54	30	77	100	58	40	171	188	121	115	123	359	0	0	132	157	70	48	311
		COL %	31%	32%	43%	28%	30%	37%	23%	34%	28%	25%	35%	32%	0%	100%	0%	23%	32%	37%	38%	30%
	\$100K+	SIG											A								A	
		COUNT	250	33	34	48	66	46	21	133	116	54	111	84	0	250	0	51	108	91	44	205
	DK/REF	COL %	11%	5%	14%	11%	13%	8%	16%	12%	10%	5%	17%	9%	0%	0%	100%	3%	9%	22%	10%	11%
		SIG											A C						A	A B		
	DK/REF	COUNT	89	5	11	20	28	10	15	48	42	12	54	23	0	0	89	7	29	54	12	78
		COL %	13%	11%	6%	18%	13%	8%	19%	9%	16%	14%	12%	12%	0%	0%	0%	13%	13%	12%	10%	13%
	DK/REF	SIG										A										
		COUNT	103	11	5	31	28	10	18	36	67	31	40	32	0	0	0	29	46	29	12	91

: - Quebec Poll March 06 2009 --- Angus Reid Strategies --- 3/7/2009 tl

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 7

As it faces an economic crisis and lower revenues, the Quebec government is considering various options for balancing its finances. In your opinion, which of these options should be a priority for the government?

			Total	Region						Gender		Age			Income			Education			Language	
			(A)	Lac St. Jean-Chicoutimi (A)	Hull-Outaouais (B)	Sherbrooke-Rive-Sud (C)	Montreal (D)	Quebec City (E)	Laval-Laurentide (F)	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-34 (A)	35-54 (B)	55+ (C)	<\$50K (A)	\$50-99K (B)	\$100K+ (C)	HS or less (A)	College / Tech school (B)	Univ+ (C)	English (A)	French (B)
	All Respondents	BASE	801	103	81	176	223	124	95	387	414	218	321	262	359	250	89	218	340	243	116	685
		UNWT	801	112	88	159	221	115	106	412	389	143	287	371	356	258	90	218	330	253	130	671
	Raising fees for public services.	COL %	7%	10%	7%	5%	6%	9%	6%	9%	5%	8%	6%	9%	6%	8%	11%	7%	7%	8%	3%	8%
		SIG								B												
		COUNT	57	11	6	10	14	12	5	37	20	17	18	22	22	20	10	16	23	18	4	53
	Reducing the number of civil servants.	COL %	56%	48%	46%	59%	59%	50%	65%	56%	56%	53%	58%	56%	57%	50%	62%	63%	54%	52%	56%	56%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	446	50	37	104	132	62	62	216	231	115	185	147	206	126	55	137	183	127	64	382
	Neither of the above.	COL %	37%	41%	47%	36%	35%	40%	29%	35%	39%	40%	37%	35%	36%	42%	28%	30%	40%	40%	41%	36%
		SIG																				
		COUNT	298	42	38	63	77	50	27	135	163	87	118	93	130	104	25	65	135	98	48	250

: - Quebec Poll March 06 2009 --- Angus Reid Strategies --- 3/7/2009 tl

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 8

In a changing world, would you say unions are:

			Total	Region						Gender		Age			Income			Education			Language		
			(A)	Lac St. Jean-Chicoutimi (A)	Hull-Outaouais (B)	Sherbrooke-Rive-Sud (C)	Montreal (D)	Quebec City (E)	Laval-Laurentide (F)	Male (A)	Female (B)	18-34 (A)	35-54 (B)	55+ (C)	<\$50K (A)	\$50-99K (B)	\$100K+ (C)	HS or less (A)	College / Tech school (B)	Univ+ (C)	English (A)	French (B)	
All Respondents	BASE		801	103	81	176	223	124	95	387	414	218	321	262	359	250	89	218	340	243	116	685	
	UNWT		801	112	88	159	221	115	106	412	389	143	287	371	356	258	90	218	330	253	130	671	
	COL %		27%	34%	37%	30%	25%	18%	21%	25%	29%	33%	27%	22%	28%	26%	22%	29%	24%	28%	27%	27%	
	SIG				E								C										
	COUNT		215	35	30	53	55	22	20	97	119	71	86	59	100	65	19	64	82	69	32	184	
	A force that promotes positive change within society?	COL %		48%	36%	39%	48%	50%	58%	50%	55%	41%	42%	46%	55%	45%	49%	59%	42%	51%	49%	48%	48%
		SIG							A		B				A								
		COUNT		383	37	32	84	111	72	47	211	171	91	148	144	162	123	52	91	174	118	56	327
	A force that is negatively blocking necessary change?	COL %		25%	30%	23%	22%	26%	24%	30%	20%	30%	26%	27%	23%	27%	24%	20%	29%	25%	23%	24%	26%
		SIG									A												
		COUNT		203	30	19	39	57	30	28	79	124	56	87	59	97	61	17	63	84	56	28	175
	Neither of the above.																						

: - Quebec Poll March 06 2009 --- Angus Reid Strategies --- 3/7/2009 tl

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.