It seems that each week brings its share of bad news about the Quebec health care system, to the point that we forget that certain of its components work rather well. This is the case for senior housing and care, largely provided by the private sector.

Private residences make up over two thirds of senior housing spaces in Quebec. They serve autonomous and semi-autonomous seniors. For those for whom a stay in residence is not feasible because of their greater needs, the government subsidizes a network of long-term care facilities (CHSLDs), either fully public or privately run (“private funded”),¹ which represent a quarter of total housing spaces.² But the government and the market respond very differently to the pressures of an aging population.

THE GREY WAVE

Last December, the Health and Welfare Commissioner, in his final report before his position was abolished, noted that a little over 2,400 people aged 75 and over were waiting for a housing space in a public CHSLD. From 2010-2011 to 2016-2017, while the population aged 75 and older increased by 15% in Quebec, the number of beds in these facilities fell from 38,394 to 37,468, a reduction of 2.4%.³

The average wait, specifically the time spent on the waiting list since requesting housing, was ten months in 2016-2017. In certain regions of Quebec, it can reach 15 months. This is a long time when you consider that the average length of stay in a CHSLD is 27 months.⁴

Even though only 4.4% of people aged 75 and over currently live in a CHSLD, they account for two thirds of the beds.⁵ By 2031, it is expected that the population in this age category will practically double.⁶ Under current conditions, the shortage of spaces in the public system will likely get worse, especially given that the government tends to react slowly to an increase in the demand for care.⁷

The picture is quite different in the private sector. According to the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation, the number of standard spaces in seniors’ residences in Quebec⁸ grew from 90,309 to 93,351 between 2011 and 2017, with an average vacancy rate of 7.6% for the period. The number of heavy care spaces, which serve a clientele requiring at least an hour and a half of care per day, increased even more rapidly: It grew from 3,469 to 13,800, and the vacancy rate was 5.2%.⁹ This means that, contrary to public CHSLDs, the seniors’ residences market adjusted to the growing demand for senior housing and care (see Figure 1).
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Québec is the province with the most private senior housing spaces, proportional to its population. This more developed market also happens to feature the lowest rents in the country.

Studies have shown that, contrary to certain misperceptions, the quality of care is high in private residences in Québec, and it tends to improve over the years. A recent poll indicates that users are largely satisfied: The overall satisfaction rate, which is 94%, climbs to 98% when it comes specifically to care.

**ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN THE PUBLIC SYSTEM**

Even within the subsidized CHSLD system, it is possible to benefit from entrepreneurial dynamism. Indeed, of the 432 CHSLDs funded directly by the government, 62 are private, so-called “funded” facilities that are run by entrepreneurs. These facilities do not have more resources than the CHSLDs run by the government, but nonetheless manage to generate a profit. The clientele and the cost of housing are the same as for fully public CHSLDs, they are accessed through the same regional portal, and the working conditions are the same. In practice, from the point of view of the user, private funded CHSLDs are perfectly integrated into the public system—with two important differences.

The first is financial: If there are unused funds in the clinical portion of the funding (the part devoted specifically to care), they must be returned to the government. And since these are private companies, private funded CHSLDs have to pay taxes.

The second difference has to do with the patients. A recent compilation of evaluation visits carried out by the Department of Health in nearly half of Québec’s CHSLDs showed that the overall quality of the facilities and of the care provided was higher in private funded facilities. Among these, 70% had been evaluated by the department as providing a “very adequate” living environment, versus just 17% of fully public CHSLDs. No private funded CHSLD was “of concern,” versus 15% of CHSLDs run by the government.

**CONCLUSION**

Entrepreneurial dynamism already meets the needs of tens of thousands of seniors housed in private residences in Québec, and the growing demand for this care. The government should not hesitate to draw on this same dynamism by relying on private funded CHSLDs in the future development of the public system. Their quiet success shows how entrepreneurship can deliver better care at a lower cost for the government, all while maintaining accessibility.
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